NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

RIGGS TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, LLC,

Plaintiff-Appellant

 $\mathbf{v}.$

VAGARO, INC., Defendant-Appellee

2022-1469

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in No. 3:21-cv-07927-TSH, Magistrate Judge Thomas S. Hixson.

Decided: January 17, 2023

WILLIAM PETERSON RAMEY, III, Ramey LLP, Houston, TX, for plaintiff-appellant.

SAL LIM, Kramer Day Alberti Lim Tonkovich & Belloli LLP, Burlingame, CA, for defendant-appellee. Also represented by MARC BELLOLI, HONG LIN.

Before TARANTO, CHEN, and STOLL, Circuit Judges.

2 RIGGS TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, LLC v. VAGARO, INC.

CHEN, Circuit Judge.

Riggs Technology Holdings, LLC appeals from a decision by the United States District Court for the Northern District of California holding that all claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,299,067 ('067 patent) are directed to patent-ineligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. See Riggs Tech. Holdings, LLC v. Vagaro, Inc., No. 21-cv-07927-TSH, 2022 WL 74179, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 7, 2022). Our decision today in a separate case, Riggs Technology Holdings, LLC v. Cengage Learning, Inc., No. 22-1468, affirmed a decision by the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts that also held that all claims of the '067 patent are directed to patent-ineligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Our decision in case No. 22-1468 has thus resolved the patent eligibility of the claims on appeal. See BTG Int'l Ltd. v. Amneal Pharms. LLC, 923 F.3d 1063, 1076–77 (Fed. Cir. 2019). We therefore dismiss this appeal as moot.

DISMISSED